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The application of photography to scientific investigation was achieved very early in the history of the medium. It is 170 years since the first successful ‘movie camera’, complete with a sophisticated optical system, was built to register the continual variations of meteorological and geomagnetic parameters over time.

Ronalds documented his initial ideas for photo-recording in 1840-1, almost immediately after Henry Fox Talbot introduced his photographic process. The next year, the British Association for the Advancement of Science (now called the British Science Association) commenced operations at the Kew Observatory near London. Ronalds became Director of the observatory soon afterwards and by April 1844 was able to begin detailed experimentation with photography. The goal was to develop self-registering instruments to ease the load on observers and already he had a vision of producing “graphic reports of our Kew Observations.” His first cameras were built a year later.

The Cameras

Ronalds’ initial machine, made in April 1845, was configured to capture the observations of his atmospheric electricity apparatus and in August that year he demonstrated the recording of atmospheric pressure and temperature. By March 1846, he had extended his approach to geomagnetism.

The heart of the machine was a horizontal camera box holding achromatic lenses (which were generally provided by Andrew Ross) and a simple mechanical aperture diaphragm to regulate the light. The light source was daylight, where possible, and an oil lamp at night. The instrument signalling the phenomenon of interest was also placed inside the camera. A slowly moving photosensitive surface driven by clockwork through a
long case recorded the minute by minute variation of the instrument over a twelve or twenty-four hour period. One of the features Ronalds introduced was a microscope (labelled X in figure 2) for viewing the image to ensure that it was correctly positioned and focussed. He found it sufficiently useful to explain and illustrate to photographer John Egerton, who ‘most gladly’ adopted it.9 This functionality of seeing the image itself rather than the object to be photographed has of course only become the norm in the digital age.

The first machine, the so-called electrograph, was described and illustrated in Gaston Tissandier’s La Photographie (1873).10 A long vertical conductor extending through the roof of the observatory collected the electricity from the air, from where it flowed to two straws of an electrometer that then diverged through repulsion. Only the tips of the straws were visible through the arced slit in the diaphragm and the photograph thus depicted a pair of curved lines with their separation varying according to the changing intensity of the electricity. A third indicator was also incorporated to show whether the charge was positive or negative. A trace created by the camera in late 1845 survives, which Ronalds had posted to the Astronomer Royal (fig. 3). The electrometer lines on the negative have the whiteness of the photographic paper as they did not receive any light while the darkest bands occur when the sun was shining most brightly.11

With the thermograph and barograph, the photo was an undulating light and dark band with the boundary between them being the changing height of the mercury (fig. 4).12 Perturbations in the geomagnetic field were recorded using a magnet suspended on a long silk thread (fig. 5).

Kew was established primarily as an experimental facility for developing new instruments and processes, rather than to conduct regular observations.13 Ronalds thus created his prototype cameras simply to demonstrate the feasibility of photo-recording in an observatory setting. The success of the original instruments meant that he was soon requested to supervise the manufacture and installation of machines for routine use in other observatories; these included Toronto,14 Madrid,15 Oxford16 and probably Paris.17 He also provided ongoing advice to their observatory Directors on photographic method.

Renowned astronomer, chemist and photographer Sir John Herschel followed Ronalds’ progress with interest and was instrumental in arranging a £250 award to him in 1849 from the British Prime Minister Lord Russell.18 It was a reflection not only of the quality of the cameras but also
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Ronalds’ need for very high chemical sensitivity to enable relatively rapid movements of the instruments to be captured in the photograph. The traces also had to be as sharp and delicate as possible, with high contrast, for the curves to be later quantified with precision. Photographic paper therefore needed to be fine and suitably finished to avoid fuzziness. Another challenge with paper was shrinkage and distortion caused by wetting and drying during the chemical processing, which could alter the scale of the image in some ill-defined way.

Furthermore, the workflow needed to be practical for an observatory conducting continuous daily photographic registration – the processing could not be too complex, time-consuming or expensive. As Ronalds explained in 1846:

*I hope to be able to reduce the Knack-ery of the paper process to a simple, certain, & easy machine-ical operation.*

Materials were required to be prepared in advance without deterioration and the workflow had to be tolerant to delays at any point in the procedure caused by other priorities in the
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observatory. The images themselves needed to be able to be copied readily for distribution and to retain their features over time as part of an ongoing record. Finally, consumables and operator time could not be too costly as multiple daily photographs were required in a working observatory. Ronalds was pushing photographic suppliers to the limit. A note survives from this time in the Ronalds Archive at the Institution of Engineering and Technology (IET):

*M* Talbot thinks that the conditions are not compatible[?] with economy. There would be no objection to give double the market price if it answered all the conditions required. He has the subject under his own consideration.22

With photography at this time still being very new, Ronalds built flexibility into his machines and they could deploy either Talbot’s or Louis Daguerre’s media. He chose the Calotype initially and received assistance from several of Talbot’s associates, including Henry Collen and later Nicolaas Henneman and Thomas Malone. It was actually Collen who published the first paper on Ronalds’ cameras, in the 1846 *Philosophical Magazine*.23 Ronalds was annoyed by this and recorded that “Collen claims a share in my inventions unjustly.”24 It was his view that Collen had helped only with the processing.25

The relationship with Malone over the period 1847–9 was more rewarding personally and Ronalds was generous in his acknowledgement of Malone’s extended efforts to produce materials and techniques tailored to his machines.26 Malone advised Ronalds in 1847 of an early breakthrough:

“This power of keeping the latent impression is valuable as it can be brought out at leisure... *M* Talbot is in Town but fears he will not have time to go to Kew.”27

The next year, Malone recorded that results were mixed:

*I am just now very nervous about our new paper. We have received a few sheets unsized, I have not yet been successful in sizing them myself... It is very tough & I think very close in texture... As soon as possible I will try it for your use – Failure is impossible – nevertheless we may have some trouble to get it right.”28

After eighteen months they were still at work, with Malone advising Talbot:

*M* Ronalds of Kew has been with me in the evening experimenting for his registration plan.29

Samples of several of the photographic papers Ronalds trialled are retained in the Ronalds Archive, including from the Chafford Mill run by the Turner family, Whatman’s paper, as well as Talbot’s.30
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Despite ‘much time and pains’, Ronalds’ exacting standards could not be reached, so he turned to Daguerre’s method in early 1849. He then needed to optimise his workflow anew and, in this period, interactions with practitioners Richard Beard, Antoine Claudet and Thomas Richard Williams are recorded. Daguerreotypes had inherent advantages over paper in their sensitivity to light and image sharpness, and a step-change in precision proved to be possible. Lines on Ronalds’ magnetograms were sufficiently sharp to be quantified consistently to an accuracy of 0.05 mm (1/500th of an inch) with the aid of his finely divided measuring board and its magnifying lens. The high precision had the immense value of enabling both severe magnetic storms and typical daily movements of the magnetic needle to be read from a single curve.

Disadvantages of the Daguerreotype included the cost of the silvered plates and the time and labour of processing. The most critical problem Ronalds needed to solve however was a means of copying the impressions and then reusing the plates, for it was too expensive to preserve them. He developed a method of tracing the images using gelatine sheet in 1850; the tracing could then be inked and printed in the manner of a copperplate.

Ronalds chose the Daguerreotype process for an extended formal trial of his instruments funded by the Royal Society and conducted at the Kew Observatory in 1851. Richard Nicklin was employed as his ‘photographist’ in this period, whom he had met through Beard.

It was around this time that the waxed-paper technique was developed by Gustave le Gray, and it was found to have significant advantageous for the machines. It was first introduced to Ronalds’ barograph and thermograph at the Radcliffe Observatory in Oxford in 1854 by (later Sir) William Crookes. Waxed-paper in turn was replaced by gelatinised paper in Ronalds’ machines in the 1880s as photography continued to mature.

Take-up of the instruments and their legacy
Ronalds retired from Kew in late 1853 and moved to the Continent. He continued to advise and assist observatories, and worked with instrument-makers such as Ignazio Porro in Paris to make new photo-recording instruments.
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also made cameras based on Ronalds’ designs for the Lisbon,42 St Petersburg,43 Coimbra44 and Stonyhurst45 Observatories.

The recordings of Ronalds’ photo-barograph at Kew began to be used by the Meteorological Department in 1862 to assist in the earliest UK Government weather forecasts.46 The Department was reshaped into the Meteorological Office in 1867, with Ronalds’ cameras justifying its new form – it comprised a network of observing stations around Britain and Ireland, all provided with standardised photo-recording instruments.47 The network was coordinated from the Kew Observatory. Updated models of Ronalds’ barograph and thermograph were installed in these stations48 and a total of over fifty of the machines were delivered to observatories around the world in the following decades.49 They were in use well into the twentieth century and at Kew until the Met Office closed its operations there in 1980.50

The magnetographs also met with continuing success, with the Encyclopedia of Geomagnetism and Paleomagnetism (2007) advising that they "established the standard technique employed for magnetic observatory recording worldwide for more than a century".51

Ronalds’ camera designs thus played a significant and sustained role in both weather forecasting and in understanding the perennial perturbations in terrestial magnetism, both of which have considerable associated economic importance and public interest. The machines were also a major reason why Kew survived its early years under the British Association’s umbrella and went on to become arguably the world’s premier meteorological and geomagnetic observatory. Ronalds’ important contributions to observatory science, and to early photography, have now been largely forgotten. Fortunately several of his original cameras and their later improvements are retained at the Science Museum in South Kensington and at the Museum of the History of Science at Oxford and detailed drawings of them also survive.

49. Scott 1885 [reference 3] and Bryden 2006 [reference 43].
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